

Chapter Seven: The Classical School – David Ricardo (pg 99-120)

David Ricardo (English, 1772-1823) Leading Classical economist after Smith
Born of Jewish immigrants from Holland
Became a stockbroker, Unitarian, made a small fortune
Died early (aged 51) of an ear infection

Stock buying strategy – markets over-reacted to events
Early observer of “herd behavior”
Content with small profits, venture capitalist
So widely respected that he became a “market maker”
Supported many political reforms that went against his “class” interests

Came to economics late – at age 27
Didn't write anything till he was 37
A great thinker, but not a natural writer
A powerful deductive thinker
Basic Premises to General Laws
Not “inductive”, never used history to inform theories, only to illustrate

The Currency Question

England was going through currency crises as a result of French Revolution and Napoleonic wars
England was on the Gold Standard
But used Paper money, and the price of gold was rising....
Effectively, the bank of England has gone off the gold standard
Ricardo analyzed this, again using good old $PV=MRT$
The problem, according to Ricardo, was too much paper money was printed
The price of gold had not risen, the value of money had fallen
There would be a run on the bank if the link was restored, argued the bank
Eventually, Ricardo's arguments won the day, and redemption was restored (1972)

The Theory of Diminishing Returns and Rent

Rent is the extra money given to owners for the indestructible part of the land
Nobody pays rent when land is “free” or abundant
Rent only comes into play as land becomes less free
This is marginal analyses – the rent changes as the supply of land changes
Diminishing returns.....
Both in the amount of land (new land is less useful)
And the intensity of cultivation (more inputs are less useful)

Rent, in Ricardo's views, are determined by the price of Grain
As the price of grain rises, tenants bid up the value (rents) of land
So high grain prices cause high rents, not the other way around
Thus, the corn laws help the landlords

The Theory of Exchange Value and Relative Prices

Exchange Value

Ricardo understood the importance of relative vs. absolute value
To have exchange value, a good must have use value
If something had use value, its exchange value depended on
Its scarcity
The amount of labor used in making it
Some items (un-reproducible ones) only have scarcity value
Rare art: its exchange value now only determined by demand
Not by the work originally put into it
Most commodities are reproducible
Value of goods depends on the labor put into them
And the labor put into the capital to create them
So all exchange value due to labor put into it
So if the beaver-deer ratio changes,
Either the ratio of work necessary to catch them changed
Or the amount of labor used to produce hunting tools changed

What about.....

Differing Capital-Labor ratios

Consider the potato to cloth comparison
Both need the same amount of labor, but one also needs capital
Ricardo asserts that the capital inputs are necessarily small
And thus the effects are also small
Very unsatisfying answer

Differences in labor Quality

Ricardo recognized this, and thought labor could be substituted
If worker A produced twice what B produced
We could switch them, values would stay the same.

Wages, Profits and Rents

Exchange value does not depend on wages, it depends on labor
Skilled labor will get more wages
But that's irrelevant, they represent more labor
Skills = greater productivity
Wages and profits are inversely related....

So a change in wages does not change exchange value

This means that labor does NOT have to get all the value of what it produces

Relative Prices

Depend on short term supply and demand fluctuations
But don't change the exchange value

Ricardian Equivalence.....

Does private saving increase as public debt rises?

The Distribution of Income

Wrote to Malthus about: production vs. distribution

The law can deal with proportions, it can't mandate quantities

Income from three sources

Wages

Profits (including interests)

Rent

Wages

Natural price of labor – the price of wages that

Provides a subsistence existence, while

Population stays steady

The market price fluctuates around this natural price

Note, this view was in accordance with Malthus

But.....

Expanding capital could keep increasing the wage fund faster than pop

Ricardo's view of sustenance differed from Malthus

“cable t.v. as a right”

Profits

Rates of profits will tend to equalize

Profits and wages are inversely related

Smith thought profits could keep rising as capital did

Ricardo didn't

diminishing returns meant capital accumulation would stop

but population growth would not

Example: what if wages rise?

Then the production costs rise – so the good sells for a higher price

People buy from elsewhere – gold flows out

And this causes the money supply to drop, so prices fall

But the wages are stuck, so profits must fall

Rents

As population increases, the demand for food increases

As the price of food rises, rents rise

As prices rise, natural wages will rise

And thus, as per profits, profits will necessarily fall

A contemporary Representation

(Insert Graph).....

Policy Implications

The poor laws are bad – they hurt the rich, but don't help the poor

A tax on rent will only affect rent

So tax rent, it can't be passed on to others

He also opposed the corn laws

They kept the price of corn high, which meant higher rents

They interfered with specialization from

The Theory of Comparative Costs

Smith supported more trade, because it led to more specialization

Specialize in what you are good at.....

But what if you are not good at anything?

Ricardo – specialize in what you are relatively good at....

The law of comparative advantage

Ricardo assumed that capital and labor were stationary

Also assumed that costs were linearly increasing with output
(no diminishing returns)

All costs are measured in labor hours

Output per unit of Labor Employed

	Wine	Cloth
Portugal	3	6
England	1	5
total	4	11

Gains from Specialization and Trade

Portugal transfers one unit of labor from cloth to wine, England two units from wine to cloth.....

	Wine	Cloth
Portugal	+3	-6
England	-2	+10
total	+1	+4

Some have said, this was the first thing economists said was both non-intuitive and true.....

Ricardo did not ever talk about how the gains would be distributed (mills did later)

Ricardo, and many others, did not extend the theory out to other things.

Ricardo on unemployment

Skip

Assessment

Contributed the use of abstract reasoning

Comparative advantage

Marginal analyses (prototype anyway)

Diminishing returns in agriculture

Distribution of income

He was wrong on.....

Overestimated the impact of diminishing returns

His analysis of rent was confusing

His views on capital were sometimes just wrong (capital and labor could be complementary)